FIRST CLASS MAIL

from:
1465
01944

To:



ENTRE NOUS for IV/81 Vol 5 #2

2 : Neil & I : A Trial for James Earl Ray

3 : HSCA / CBS : "....I did not shoot Martin Luther King, Jr...."

4 : : "....turned slightly [60°] to the right...."

5 : : "Vicinity" (BushyKnoll) or "Area" (Bathroom Window)

et

6 : : How to throw up the sash (UPI)

al

7 : : Frenchy = Raoul = Galt = Sneyd = ?

GKG·1

The GRASSY KNOLL GAZETTE box 1465 Manchester MA 01944 USA
JW Burke, Editor-at-Large
N Whitehouse, Censor
RB Cutler, Compressor
Bett's, Offsettor
617 · 526 · 1521
circ. 500



Gazette

a little sincerity is a dangerous thing, and a great deal of it is absolutely fatal..... Oscar Wilde

Neil & I, ruminating in the Ivory Tower at 36½ Union St, decided this issue could appropriately be re-named remembering the murder in Memphis 4th of April 1968...and devoted To a trial for James Earl Ray.... which reader will believe that? which reader, Knowing the Dept of Justice interprets the evidence in favor of no action, will act? will move to free the patsy of the rap so well-pinned on him? Michael Newton's A Case for Conspiracy (Holloway House, 8060 Melrose, LA, CA, 90046) indicate that appeal from Judge McRae's adverse, 1975, ruling is before the US Supreme Court... Who will breach This stonewall, its mortar set since 1968? Censors, Editors, Compressors are useless.... a hard head, a thick skin and the irrational fervor of a Khomeini are all required... anyone ready, willing and able? please advise; GKG (BKB) is prepared

please turn to page 8

send addresses of interested friends
PLUS $ _____: whatever 6/yr are worth to you ... checks payable to Cutler Designs ... checks receipt & notice of renewal:

..... complimentary

JER under oath maintains he tells the truth ... excerpts of his testimony from HSCA MLK Vol I ... VIII/16/78:

.... in essence I would have told the trial court and jury that I did not kill Martin Luther King Jr just as I am now telling this committee (p 89)

.... But maybe I should be in jail, although not for shooting anyone (p 90)

.... In regard to the above-mentioned psychological profile I will accept the profile as the type of person who would shoot Martin Luther King Jr. Further ... if any of the afore-mentioned accusations can be substantiated by the record ... of any responsible official conversant with the records, then I will appear before this committee and take full responsibility for the King murder ... (p 91)

(exhibit MLK F-26 is the Dan Rather interview ... JER would caution you to remember he's not under oath)

Rather : and you didn't do it?

J E R : No, I didn't do it .... (p 342)
... and it's just alien to my thinking. I can't conceive of --- me doing something and --- under those terms (publicity, self-aggrandizement etc) you're thinking about. I --- Icould --- might do something similar to that under self-defense, but I couldn't --- under what you read off there ...

Rather : then,you didn't do it

J E R : No, that's right .... (p 343)

(Chairman Stokes then questions J E R)

(quoting Ray) "... I did not shoot Martin Luther King Jr just as I'm now telling this committee ... I would have offered conclusive proof in support of that denial." My question is,to you: What is the conclusive prooof in support of that denial?

J E R : ... Charles Stephens (State's chief witness) testified I was locked in the bathroom for I believe an hour or an hour and a half prior to the shooting ... I was in factin ... the drug store, the taverns, and getting the binoculars ... at a service station during the time Martin Luther King was shot ... (p 351)

(Chairman Stokes' questioning continues next day and into vol II)

Stokes: ... You have maintianed all along you did not fire that shot, right ?

J E R : Yes (p 104)

Stokes: ... Well at any rate you were nowhere near the scene when he was killed, were you?

J E R : That's correct (p 105)

Stokes: ... When you headed out of town you knew you hadn't killed anybody; isn't that true?

J E R : That's correct (p 111)

gentle reader : your auto-response to these quotes as a murderer's lies only measures Coverup's successful burial of the truth ... please re-read 'em : imagine you are the human being, under oath, trying to get the truth thru encircling enemy lines ... ok?

GKG-3-IV/81

from HSCA · MLK · Vol I

Baden: ... This is a reconstruction done by Ms. Dox (committee's medical illustrator) in close consultation with the medical panel.... (p 57)
Dr King had to have been in a position with his face downward and to his right... [on p 47: slightly to the right] (p 58)
autopsy itself cannot distinguish between 2- and 5-degree difference between the bathroom window and the grassy [bushy] Knoll. (p 59)

the reference is to the vertical angle not the 20° difference in plan, horizontal angle, below?



This bird's-eye view of the panel's reconstruction shows the head turned not "slightly" but about 60° to the right... this is seen as conservative.



Devine: ...And it was your conclusion.... the bullet may have been fired from that area [bathroom] or that vicinity [bushyKnoll]?
Baden: Our conclusions were that it was entirely consistent with the bullet having been fired from the bathroom area, yes... (p 64)

this forthright, specifically to-the-point answer is typical not only in the House Committee but also the Warren Report... typical of respected, professional expert testimony, it is the warp and woof of Coverup

chance of a narrow bridge is remote - see pg 5

more likely to be wounded on LEFT than on RIGHT

placing the Dox reconstruction at the balcony railing, shots from either the vicinity or the area do not inflict the wounds noted at autopsy



this is one of the MLK autopsy diagrams, initialed by Dr Francisco (p 444 in Harold Weisberg's FRAME-UP) it clearly shows two distinct wounds: the bullet enters and exits the head, then enters the neck.... the slug was removed left of the spine high in the torso.... Baden draws attention to the narrow bridge of skin between the wounds... it is the criterion for searching for the shot's origin... testing the bridge relative to the horizontal angle between mid-line MLK and bullet's flight-path is shown diagrammitically below: in both instances MLK is normal to and leaning over the rail, talking to friends below;



on the left:
the origin is assumed to be straight ahead; the bullet would create the bridged wounds and deflection would track it into the torso's left-side, severing the tie en route



on the right:
The "Known" origin makes it impossible to inflict The bridged wounds and no deflection apparently carries the bullet to its resting place. an unlikely occurrence..

track and flightpath seldom coincides...
Harold's intuitive".... shot would have been too much at right-angles..." (p169)
only requires fine-tuning for bone

GKG. 5. IV/81

about ten minutes after the murder Commercial Appeal photographer Bob Williams found the spot on bushy Knoll where the shot originated.... after taking two pix looking the motel (AP captioned one next day .... the view of the Lorraine Motel .... seen by the sniper who fatally shot Dr Martin Luther King) Williams turned a took a few of the rear of the rooming house... in the glossy 8x10 UPI 1588820 the reflection, the lower sash's bottom rail and the ± 4" opening of the bathroom window can be differentiated ... here they blur together... this was Coverup's start in gun-pointing the "assassin's nest" for the public....

UPI 1588999 was sent out next a.m. as solid confirmation of shots origin... in 8x10 glossy a dab of the black ink around and across white arrow was applied to bathroom window, opening it from ± 1" to max ± 20"... Coverup made it appear credible unless you looked inside (and wondered when the two trees hanging over the wall were "felled" to get the clear shot at #306)

some time later one photographer posed for another "reconstructing" the shooter's action... UPI 5890003... sloping back of tub forced use of rim... dent of rifle barrel on window sill dictated contorted aim position... mop is off about 10°-15° from rm 306... The photo has been retouched: the dotted line shows position of bottom of lower sash as photo-ed... it has been lowered to coincide with facts of the crime: the man in the bathroom did not fit JER's description.... he left the incriminating evidence in flight... both JER & Oswald left their rifles for the police to find... Coverup's evidence-planting is consistently stupid....

GKG · 6 · IV/81

Eric S Galt (JER alias) & "Frenchy" whom JER indicates resembles Raoul....

Sketch, left, made by Mexico City police of suspect sought for slaying of the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. is said by research group to resemble picture of man taken into custody by police near Kennedy assassination scene (enhancement & to offset poor copy....) V·24·68 photos accompanying NY Times article

T C I, XII/22/80: Ray Names Four Others in Scheme to Murder King (D Lewis, Nashville Tennessean XI/2/80) : In an application for a pardon hearing ... (not) included among the four names is that of the mysterious "Raoul" ... Carlos Miguel Hernandos, David Gravier, Randolph Erwin Rosenson ....

left : Galt is an early JER alias. Frenchy was photographed in Dealey Plaza 30 minutes after JFK's murder ... descriptions given FBI in Memphis enabled Mexican artist Sergio Jalbert sketch a likeness, dolled up in shirt and tie for respectability, which seems to have been traced ... but why wait six days to publish ? delay does help successful escape ....

The James Earl Ray Hoax by Joachim Joesten : In February 1968 while in Los Angeles Ray decided to get rid of (a prominent nasal tip) ... the reason is not difficult to espy. All indications are that Ray spent some time .... there with his alter ego Galt I and that they were busy coordinating not only their movements and activities but also their looks and identities .... (p51)

Code Name Zorro by Mark Lane and Dick Gregory : ...Arthur Murtagh, the veteran FBI agent said,

> the day King was shot, I was .... leaving the office with an FBI agent ... we heard the announcement, that King had been shot ... this agent jumped with joy, literally leaped in the air yelling "They got Zorro! They got the son of a bitch! I hope he dies!..... (p 136)

Frame-Up by Harold Weisberg : (Ray's capture at Heathrow, VI/8/68) ... It is like the TV show. "Will the real James Earl Ray/Ramon Sneyd (JER's passport alias) please stand up?" Who would? The man at the New Earl's Court Hotel or the one at the Pax (Hotel)? The man in Lisbon or the man who robbed the bank (London) June 4? The man(Ray/Sneyd) who got off the plane from Lisbon and needlessly went thru immigration, not required of passengers in transit (to Brussells; he was nabbed at 0610) o# the man (Ray/Sneyd) who left the Pax more than three hours after the (Ray/Sneyd 0610) pickup to go to the airport (where Ray/Sneyd was picked up at 1115) .... (p 9)

just as GKG Dividend told you no more PURSUIT one appeared ...we suggest you write Ed Berkeley 815 Washington St Newtonville MA 02160 and ask how much it would be to put Wayne Chastain's article into a monograph .. II-XII 1974 Computers & People (an early PURSUIT alias) .. it's one of the very best on MLK's assassination ...

GKG·7·IV/81



I did not shoot Martin Luther King Jr

T. Vaughan, in response to our request for contributions, sent a taped broadcast containing JER's statement, sworn before the HSCA, that he did not shoot MLK. Our eminently qualified consultant at Audio Analysis Labs, processed the tape by means of Psychological Stress Evaluation technique. With his usual qualifiers under non-clinical conditions, he concluded that, although JER was being evasive during the recorded testimony, there are indications that he (Ray) was truthfully denying the actual act of shooting Martin Luther King, Jr. We can justly wonder what a trial would have revealed.

Quoting from Code Name "ZORRO", specifically referencing FBI agent A. Murtaugh's statements, (Murtaugh was assigned to the FBI internal security intelligence squad, (a total contradiction of terms as we see it), in Atlanta for ten years):

> " I would not depend on an investigation by the people I knew to be very accurate. ... I talked about conspiracy in Atlanta regarding King, and thought about it in the Kennedy case. I was told that we weren't to think about conspiracy. ... I feel it was a political decision. I don't think the crime was ever investigated. ... I think eventually, if everybody keeps pushing, ..., you'll probably find a conspiracy."

James Earl Ray must open up! Much evidence exists to support reasonable doubt and conspiracy, but, as Michael Newton writes, Ray's "... surprise guilty plea on March 10, 1969, relieved the prosecution. ..." The prosecution has been relieved long enough! A defense lawyer who seemed more concerned about his fee than about his client, and a judge who served justice by insuring that the defendant could not be acquitted by a jury, are efficient means toward speedy handling of court cases, but the good of it escapes us.

GKG·8·IV/81